

FREE GUIDE

How to evaluate autonomous code review tools.

Six questions that separate the tools that fix your code from the ones that just talk about it.

THE PROBLEM

"Autonomous fixes" is now table stakes.

Every vendor's site says finds and fixes. The claim has converged, so it tells you nothing. What separates the tools is the mechanism underneath, not the marketing. Six questions get you to it.

QUESTION 1

Does it verify the fix against your test suite?

WEAK ANSWER

Hands you a suggestion, or validates against its own engine. You still run the review cycle to catch what it missed.

STRONG ANSWER

Runs your tests, build, and lint first. The PR arrives merge-ready, already passing your gates.

QUESTION 2

Does it **fix** the code, or just flag it?

WEAK ANSWER

Surfaces a list of findings and leaves the work to you. The backlog grows faster than the team can clear it.

STRONG ANSWER

Ships the fix as a merge-ready PR. Findings are free to see; the fix is done for you, not assigned back.



Get the full guide.

All six questions, plus a one-page scorecard to grade any vendor in minutes.

[Download the guide](#)